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Ex. 2.1 Suppose each of $K$-classes has an associated target $t_{k}$, which is a vector of all zeros, except for a one in the $k$ th position. Show that classifying to the largest element of $\hat{y}$ amounts to choosing the closest target, $\min _{k}\left\|t_{k}-\hat{y}\right\|$, if the elements of $\hat{y}$ sum to one.

The problem, restated: Show that $\underset{k}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\|t_{k}-\hat{y}\right\|=\underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}}\left(y_{k}\right)$ subject to :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\|t_{k}-\hat{y}\right\| \\
= & \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\|t_{k}-\hat{y}\right\|^{2} \\
= & x \rightarrow x^{2} \text { is monotonic } \\
=\underset{k}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(y_{i}-\left(t_{k}\right)_{i}\right)^{2} & \text { definition of norm, ignoring } \sqrt{ } \text { due to argmin } \\
=\underset{k}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(y_{i}-2 y_{i}\left(t_{k}\right)_{i}+\left(t_{k}\right)_{i}^{2}\right) & \\
=\underset{k}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(-2 y_{i}\left(t_{k}\right)_{i}+\left(t_{k}\right)_{i}^{2}\right) & \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{i}^{2} \text { is independent of } \mathrm{k} \\
=\underset{k}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left(-2 y_{k}+1\right) & \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{i}\left(t_{k}\right)_{i}=y_{k}, \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(t_{k}\right)_{i}^{2}=1 \\
= & \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left(-2 y_{k}\right) \\
= & \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}}\left(y_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Ex 2.2 Show how to compute the Bayes decision boundary for the simulation example in Figure 2.5.
The simulation draws 10 points $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{10} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ from $N\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 0\end{array}\right], I_{2}\right)$ and 10 points $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{10} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ from $N\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}0 \\ 1\end{array}\right], I_{2}\right)$. These points $p_{i}$ and $q_{j}$ we assume to be fixed, and are used as the means of normal distributions with covariance matrix $I_{2} / 5$. The Bayes decision boundary is found by equating the likelihoods of a point being generated from the blue generating function and the orange generating function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(\text { blue }) & =P(\text { orange }) \\
\sum_{i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|2 \pi \Sigma|}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}_{i}\right)^{T} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}_{i}\right)\right) & =\sum_{j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|2 \pi \Sigma|}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}_{j}\right)^{T} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}_{j}\right)\right) \\
\sum_{i} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}_{i}\right)^{T} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}_{i}\right)\right) & =\sum_{j} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}_{j}\right)^{T} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}_{j}\right)\right) \\
\sum_{i} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}_{i}\right)^{T}\left(\frac{5}{\mathbf{I}_{2}}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{p}_{i}\right)\right) & =\sum_{j} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}_{j}\right)^{T}\left(\frac{5}{\mathbf{I}_{2}}\right)\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{q}_{j}\right)\right) \\
\sum_{i} \exp \left(\frac{-5\left\|\mathbf{p}_{i}-\mathbf{x}\right\|^{2}}{2}\right) & =\sum_{j} \exp \left(\frac{-5\left\|\mathbf{q}_{j}-\mathbf{x}\right\|^{2}}{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Ex 2.3 Derive equation (2.24).
Equation 2.24: Consider $N$ data points uniformly distributed in a $p$-dimensional unit ball centered at the origin. Suppose we consider a nearest-neighbor estimate at the origin. The median distance from the origin to the closest data point is given by the expression

$$
d(p, N)=\left(1-\frac{1}{2}^{\frac{1}{N}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

Let $r=$ median distance.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} & =P(\text { all } N \text { points are further than } r \text { from the origin }) \\
\frac{1}{2} & =\prod_{i=1}^{N} P\left(\left\|x_{i}\right\|>r\right) \\
\frac{1}{2} & =\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left[1-P\left(\left\|x_{i}\right\| \leq r\right)\right] \\
\frac{1}{2} & =\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left[1-\frac{K r^{p}}{K}\right] \\
\frac{1}{2} & =\prod_{i=1}^{N}\left[1-r^{p}\right] \\
\frac{1}{2} & =\left(1-r^{p}\right)^{N} \\
1-r^{p} & =\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \\
r^{p} & =1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \\
r & =\left[1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Ex 2.4 The edge effect problem discussed on page 23 is not peculiar to uniform sampling from bounded domains. Consider inputs drawn from a spherical multinormal distribution $X \sim N\left(0, \mathbf{I}_{p}\right)$. The squared distance from any sample point to the origin has a $\chi_{p}^{2}$ distribution with mean $p$. Consider a prediction point $x_{0}$ drawn from this distribution, and let $a=x_{0} /\left\|x_{0}\right\|$ be an associated unit vector. Let $z_{i}=a^{T} x_{i}$ be the projection of each of the training points on this direction.

Show that the $z_{i}$ are distributed $N(0,1)$ with expected squared distance from the origin 1 , while the target point has expected squared distance $p$ from the origin.

Hence for $p=10$, a randomly drawn test point is about 3.1 standard deviations from the origin, while all the training points are on average one standard deviation along direction $a$. So most prediction points see themselves as lying on the edge of the training set.

